

This is the command to get the latest release of QuESTlink:

```
Import["https://qtechtheory.org/questlink.m"];
```

The next command downloads the pre-compiled single-thread simulator. For significantly faster multithreaded and GPU simulation, recompile QuESTlink (see guide here) and use **CreateLocalQuESTEnv[]**


```
CreateDownloadedQuESTEnv[];
```

Preparing an ansatz

Here's how we could hard-code a 6 qubit, 12 parameter ansatz. The parameters are labelled $\theta[i]$ for convenience, but they could be any symbols we want (θ_i , θ_j , etc)

```
Circuit[  
  Rx $[\theta[1]]$  Ry $[\theta[2]]$  Ry $[\theta[3]]$  Rx $[\theta[4]]$  Rx $[\theta[5]]$  Rx $[\theta[6]]$   $\times$   
  C $_{\theta,1,2,4,5}$ [U $_3$ [( Exp $[\mathbf{i} \theta[7]]$   $\begin{matrix} \theta \\ \theta \end{matrix}$  Exp $[-\mathbf{i} \theta[7]]$  )]]  $\times$   
  R $[\theta[7], Y_0 X_1 Z_2]$  R $[\theta[8], Y_1 X_2 Z_3]$  R $[\theta[9], Y_2 X_3 Z_4]$  R $[\theta[10], Y_3 X_4 Z_5]$   $\times$   
  C $_{\theta,2,4}$ [R $[\theta[11], Z_1 X_3 Y_5]$   $\times$   
  R $[\theta[12], Y_0 Y_1 Y_2 Y_3 Y_4 Y_5]$ ];
```

```
DrawCircuit[%]
```




More often, we wish to generate an ansatz programmatically.

```

nQ = 6;
u = Block[{i = 1}, Flatten @ {
  Table[gq-1[θ[i++]], {q, nQ}, {g, {Rx, Ry, Rz}}],
  Table[CDeleteCases[Range[0, nQ-1], q] [Uq [ ( Exp[i θ[i++]] 0
                                                0 1 ) ]], {q, 0, nQ-1}],
  Table[R[θ[i++] , Product[σq-1, {q, nQ}], {σ, {Y, X, Z}}] ]];
nθ = Length[u];

```

```
DrawCircuit[u]
```



Since the parameters symbolic, we could study the ansatz operator analytically. Here's its first element, when every second parameter has value π .

```
u /. θ[_?EvenQ] → π;
```

```
CalcCircuitMatrix[%] // First // First
```

$$\begin{aligned}
& -i e^{\frac{1}{2}i\theta[3] + \frac{1}{2}i\theta[9] + \frac{1}{2}i\theta[15] - \frac{1}{2}i\theta[27]} \cos\left[\frac{\theta[1]}{2}\right] \cos\left[\frac{\theta[5]}{2}\right] \\
& \cos\left[\frac{\theta[7]}{2}\right] \cos\left[\frac{\theta[11]}{2}\right] \cos\left[\frac{\theta[13]}{2}\right] \cos\left[\frac{\theta[17]}{2}\right] \cos\left[\frac{\theta[25]}{2}\right] - \\
& i e^{-\frac{1}{2}i\theta[3] - \frac{1}{2}i\theta[9] - \frac{1}{2}i\theta[15] - \frac{1}{2}i\theta[27]} \sin\left[\frac{\theta[1]}{2}\right] \sin\left[\frac{\theta[5]}{2}\right] \sin\left[\frac{\theta[7]}{2}\right] \\
& \sin\left[\frac{\theta[11]}{2}\right] \sin\left[\frac{\theta[13]}{2}\right] \sin\left[\frac{\theta[17]}{2}\right] \sin\left[\frac{\theta[25]}{2}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

Preparing a Hamiltonian

We could hard-code our own Hamiltonian...

```
h = .1 X0 Y1 Z2 + .3 Y0 X2 - .4 Z0 Z1 Z2;
```

```
CalcPauliSumMatrix[h] // Chop // MatrixForm
```

$$\begin{pmatrix}
-0.4 & 0 & 0 & 0. - 0.1 i & 0 & 0. - 0.3 i & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.4 & 0. - 0.1 i & 0 & 0. + 0.3 i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0. + 0.1 i & 0.4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0. - 0.3 i \\
0. + 0.1 i & 0 & 0 & -0.4 & 0 & 0 & 0. + 0.3 i & 0 \\
0 & 0. - 0.3 i & 0 & 0 & 0.4 & 0 & 0 & 0. + 0.1 i \\
0. + 0.3 i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.4 & 0. + 0.1 i & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0. - 0.3 i & 0 & 0. - 0.1 i & -0.4 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0. + 0.3 i & 0 & 0. - 0.1 i & 0 & 0 & 0.4
\end{pmatrix}$$

but more likely we'll want to produce one from a file of coefficients and Pauli strings. Here we download a 369-term 6-qubit Lithium Hydride Hamiltonian

```
h = GetPauliSumFromCoeffs [
  "https://questlink.qtechtheory.org/demo_hamiltonian.txt"];

Length[h]
h[ ;; 30]
369
-6.52209 - 0.00168947 X0 + 0.000335609 X1 + 0.00233908 X0 X1 -
0.00518865 X2 - 2.32678 × 10-6 X0 X2 - 0.00238276 X1 X2 - 0.000333484 X0 X1 X2 +
0.0561302 X3 + 0.0000211588 X0 X3 + 0.0000198838 X0 X1 X3 - 0.000133652 X2 X3 -
0.0000311241 X1 X2 X3 + 0.000547046 X4 + 0.00165752 X3 X4 - 0.00600013 X0 X3 X4 -
0.00812442 X2 X3 X4 + 0.000447423 X5 - 0.0000517994 X0 X5 + 0.00019084 X0 X1 X5 -
0.0000777401 X2 X5 - 0.0001908 X1 X2 X5 - 0.00630859 X3 X5 + 0.0661688 X4 X5 +
0.0000169999 X0 X4 X5 + 0.00634842 X0 X1 X4 X5 - 0.000177071 X2 X4 X5 -
0.00636179 X1 X2 X4 X5 + 0.00562722 X3 X4 X5 - 0.000346402 Y0 Y1
```

We can determine its ground-state exactly, which we'll later compare to that which our variational algorithm estimates

```
gs = Min @ Eigenvalues @ CalcPauliSumMatrix[h]
-7.88074
```

Preparing quantum states

To perform numerical simulation of our ansatz, we need to create quantum registers, which are stored in the backend QuEST process.

```
ψ = CreateQureg[nQ];
InitPlusState[ψ];

GetQuregMatrix[ψ] // Chop
{0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125,
0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125,
0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125,
0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125,
0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125,
0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125}
```

To apply our ansatz circuit to a state, we must replace the parameters with numerical values

```
ApplyCircuit[ u /.  $\theta$ [_]  $\rightarrow$  RandomReal[],  $\psi$ ];
```

```
GetQuregMatrix[ $\psi$ ]
```

```
{0.0224731 + 0.111599 i, 0.00523535 - 0.0521917 i,
 -0.0111565 - 0.0767799 i, -0.00378012 + 0.00136288 i, 0.00123122 - 0.0731973 i,
 -0.00457615 + 0.00651081 i, 0.00978255 - 0.00067529 i,
 -0.0267522 - 0.0498707 i, -0.0235968 - 0.0586924 i, -0.0191137 - 0.00769477 i,
 -0.00438554 - 0.0141594 i, -0.00728107 - 0.0635589 i, -0.00530986 - 0.0091749 i,
 -0.0142068 - 0.0662965 i, -0.0103575 - 0.0461789 i, -0.00615036 - 0.134759 i,
 0.0153867 - 0.0281887 i, -0.0302696 + 0.0147383 i, -0.0151482 + 0.00568313 i,
 -0.0364413 - 0.0803933 i, -0.0157579 + 0.0110711 i, -0.0448814 - 0.0796178 i,
 -0.0309596 - 0.0620335 i, -0.0692182 - 0.151883 i, -0.0319339 - 0.00383458 i,
 -0.0233075 - 0.111581 i, -0.0101306 - 0.0822589 i, -0.0323024 - 0.21812 i,
 -0.0189583 - 0.0844727 i, -0.0556178 - 0.218061 i, -0.0195894 - 0.167156 i,
 0.373976 + 0.176453 i, 0.0481885 - 0.116956 i, 0.0218496 - 0.0050421 i,
 0.0379506 - 0.0147816 i, -0.0269679 - 0.025903 i, 0.0375501 - 0.00818858 i,
 -0.0296966 - 0.0290688 i, -0.0344551 - 0.0168195 i, -0.0071038 - 0.0670466 i,
 0.0193431 - 0.021617 i, -0.0122202 - 0.0328829 i, -0.0153121 - 0.016552 i,
 0.0102312 - 0.0824418 i, -0.01866 - 0.020663 i, 0.00323491 - 0.0849524 i,
 0.00804622 - 0.0662416 i, 0.0994447 + 0.109923 i, 0.0100959 - 0.000568641 i,
 -0.0268904 - 0.0495882 i, -0.0245207 - 0.0355702 i, -0.0215095 - 0.099105 i,
 -0.0280162 - 0.0379397 i, -0.0312304 - 0.0979598 i, -0.0138876 - 0.0789094 i,
 0.17717 - 0.0295302 i, -0.0106074 - 0.0458956 i, -0.00641741 - 0.133966 i,
 0.00722081 - 0.101594 i, 0.237598 + 0.0403602 i, -0.00222075 - 0.103981 i,
 0.2454 - 0.000476521 i, 0.171626 + 0.0649399 i, 0.462416 - 0.101295 i}
```

We must create a “working Qureg” $h\psi$ to compute expected values. We see our starting state isn’t especially close to the ground-state.

```
h $\psi$  = CreateQureg[nQ];
CalcExpecPauliSum[ $\psi$ , h, h $\psi$ ]
-6.55243
```

We’ll also need additional Quregs to keep track of our fixed input state $in\psi = |+\rangle$, and $n\theta$ derivative states $d\psi[i] = \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta[i]} \right\rangle$ (where $\psi = u(\theta) in\psi$)

```
in $\psi$  = CreateQureg[nQ];
InitPlusState[in $\psi$ ];
```

```
d $\psi$  = CreateQuregs[nQ, n $\theta$ ];
```

Computing variational observables

Let’s re-randomise the ansatz parameters.

```
vθ = Table[θ[i] → RandomReal[], {i, nθ}]
```

```
{θ[1] → 0.302214, θ[2] → 0.701127, θ[3] → 0.250832,
 θ[4] → 0.366587, θ[5] → 0.184505, θ[6] → 0.134426, θ[7] → 0.2041,
 θ[8] → 0.869532, θ[9] → 0.209075, θ[10] → 0.221281, θ[11] → 0.415592,
 θ[12] → 0.598776, θ[13] → 0.91903, θ[14] → 0.0748652, θ[15] → 0.824325,
 θ[16] → 0.0585614, θ[17] → 0.4362, θ[18] → 0.434674, θ[19] → 0.250115,
 θ[20] → 0.0151225, θ[21] → 0.71593, θ[22] → 0.408774, θ[23] → 0.793994,
 θ[24] → 0.943991, θ[25] → 0.711386, θ[26] → 0.455652, θ[27] → 0.0252188}
```

We set each $d\psi[i] = \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta[i]} \right| \mathbf{i} \mathbf{n} \psi \rangle$ for the given assignment of θ

```
CalcQuregDerivs[u, inψ, vθ, dψ];
```

The imaginary time 'tensor' is simply $\text{Re} \left[\left\langle \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta[i]} \middle| \middle| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta[j]} \right\rangle \right]$

```
m = Re @ CalcInnerProducts[dψ];
```

```
m // Chop // MatrixForm
```

0.25	0	-0.16127	0.25	0	-0.0458649	
0	0.25	0	0	0	0	
-0.16127	0	0.25	-0.16127	0	0.0295865	-
0.25	0	-0.16127	0.25	0	-0.0458649	
0	0	0	0	0.25	0	
-0.0458649	0	0.0295865	-0.0458649	0	0.25	-
0.25	0	-0.16127	0.25	0	-0.0458649	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
-0.191007	0	0.123215	-0.191007	0	0.035042	-
0.25	0	-0.16127	0.25	0	-0.0458649	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
-0.100933	0	0.0651097	-0.100933	0	0.0185171	-
0.25	0	-0.16127	0.25	0	-0.0458649	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
-0.0186988	0	0.0120622	-0.0186988	0	0.00343048	-
0.25	0	-0.16127	0.25	0	-0.0458649	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
-0.105625	0	0.0681363	-0.105625	0	0.0193779	-
-0.0512571	0.0213583	-0.0512571	-0.0512571	-0.00824045	0.0512571	-
-0.167895	-0.000900317	0.167895	-0.167895	0.00233352	-0.167895	-
-0.0385019	-0.0124444	0.0385019	-0.0385019	0.00419934	0.00860778	-
-0.114527	-0.0181217	0.114527	-0.114527	0.00807583	0.0226566	-
-0.14819	-0.0466023	0.14819	-0.14819	0.0293635	0.0392888	-
-0.0793218	-0.0358798	0.0793218	-0.0793218	0.015727	0.0224444	-
-0.00813621	0.00265984	0	-0.00813621	0.00320796	0	-
0.039606	-0.0140054	0	0.039606	-0.00428338	0	-
0.0002884	0	-0.000447077	0.0002884	0	-0.00157201	0

We set $|\psi\rangle = \mathbf{u}(\theta) |\mathbf{i} \mathbf{n} \psi \rangle$, and $|\mathbf{h} \psi\rangle = \mathbf{h} |\psi\rangle$

```
ApplyCircuit[u /. vθ, CloneQureg[ψ, inψ]];
```

```
ApplyPauliSum[ψ, h, hψ];
```

The energy gradient is then simply $\text{Re} \left[\langle \psi | \mathbf{h} | \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta[i]} \rangle \right]$

```
v = Re @ CalcInnerProducts[hψ, dψ];  
v // MatrixForm
```

```
(  
  -0.013409  
 -0.00539944  
  0.0379003  
 -0.013409  
 -0.0105197  
  0.0604042  
 -0.013409  
  0.00468087  
  0.0250343  
 -0.013409  
 -0.0564997  
  0.00145499  
 -0.013409  
 -0.0671572  
 -0.0213131  
 -0.013409  
 -0.0855882  
  0.0411782  
 -0.0196398  
 -0.0389648  
 -0.010845  
  0.022991  
  0.0449788  
  0.000658498  
  0.00793236  
 -0.0329018  
  0.018517  
)
```

A single iteration of imaginary time evolution would use these observables to update the parameters via $\mathbf{m} \Delta \theta = -\mathbf{v} \Delta t$

```
 $\Delta\theta = \Delta t \text{LinearSolve}[m, -v];$ 
 $\Delta\theta // \text{MatrixForm}$ 
```

$$\begin{pmatrix} -0.112232 \Delta t \\ -0.247915 \Delta t \\ 1.37134 \Delta t \\ -0.112232 \Delta t \\ 0.191551 \Delta t \\ -1.42699 \Delta t \\ -0.112232 \Delta t \\ -0.0810106 \Delta t \\ 0.0389451 \Delta t \\ -0.112232 \Delta t \\ 0.408794 \Delta t \\ -0.26508 \Delta t \\ -0.112232 \Delta t \\ 0.501992 \Delta t \\ -0.0693181 \Delta t \\ -0.112232 \Delta t \\ 0.741091 \Delta t \\ -0.583384 \Delta t \\ 1.50634 \Delta t \\ -1.15593 \Delta t \\ 0.414318 \Delta t \\ -0.365748 \Delta t \\ -0.275681 \Delta t \\ -0.706214 \Delta t \\ -0.0677737 \Delta t \\ 0.379378 \Delta t \\ -0.0555886 \Delta t \end{pmatrix}$$

hence the updated parameters, dependent on Δt , would be

```
 $v\theta[\text{All}, 2] += \Delta\theta$ 
```

```
{0.302214 - 0.112232  $\Delta t$ , 0.701127 - 0.247915  $\Delta t$ , 0.250832 + 1.37134  $\Delta t$ ,
0.366587 - 0.112232  $\Delta t$ , 0.184505 + 0.191551  $\Delta t$ , 0.134426 - 1.42699  $\Delta t$ ,
0.2041 - 0.112232  $\Delta t$ , 0.869532 - 0.0810106  $\Delta t$ , 0.209075 + 0.0389451  $\Delta t$ ,
0.221281 - 0.112232  $\Delta t$ , 0.415592 + 0.408794  $\Delta t$ , 0.598776 - 0.26508  $\Delta t$ ,
0.91903 - 0.112232  $\Delta t$ , 0.0748652 + 0.501992  $\Delta t$ , 0.824325 - 0.0693181  $\Delta t$ ,
0.0585614 - 0.112232  $\Delta t$ , 0.4362 + 0.741091  $\Delta t$ , 0.434674 - 0.583384  $\Delta t$ ,
0.250115 + 1.50634  $\Delta t$ , 0.0151225 - 1.15593  $\Delta t$ , 0.71593 + 0.414318  $\Delta t$ ,
0.408774 - 0.365748  $\Delta t$ , 0.793994 - 0.275681  $\Delta t$ , 0.943991 - 0.706214  $\Delta t$ ,
0.711386 - 0.0677737  $\Delta t$ , 0.455652 + 0.379378  $\Delta t$ , 0.0252188 - 0.0555886  $\Delta t$ }
```

Performing variational imaginary time

We simply repeat these steps to perform variational imaginary time minimization. We'll measure and record the energy at each iteration.

```

vθ = Table[θ[i] → RandomReal[], {i, nθ}];

Δt = .01;
nt = 100;

en = Table[
  (* compute imaginary time tensor *)
  CalcQuregDerivs[u, inψ, vθ, dψ];
  m = Re @ CalcInnerProducts[dψ];

  (* compute energy gradient *)
  ApplyCircuit[u /. vθ, CloneQureg[ψ, inψ]];
  ApplyPauliSum[ψ, h, hψ];
  v = Re @ CalcInnerProducts[hψ, dψ];

  (* update parameters under imaginary time *)
  Δθ = Δt LinearSolve[m, -v];
  vθ[[All, 2]] += Δθ;

  (* record the current energy *)
  CalcExpecPauliSum[ψ, h, hψ],

  (* perform nt iterations *)
  nt
];


```

Here's how the energy evolved

```

ListLinePlot[en,
  GridLines → {{}, {{gs, Red}}},
  PlotRange → {gs, Automatic},
  AxesLabel → {"Iteration", "Energy"}]

```



and the final energy, and its corresponding parameters


```
Row[{Last[en], " / ", gs}]
```

```
vθ
```

```
-7.27344 / -7.88074
```

```
{θ[1] → 0.310389, θ[2] → 0.0898798, θ[3] → 1.48073, θ[4] → 0.0527977,  
θ[5] → 0.801902, θ[6] → 0.262244, θ[7] → 0.722303, θ[8] → 1.53805,  
θ[9] → 0.913898, θ[10] → 0.26469, θ[11] → 1.53865, θ[12] → -0.483215,  
θ[13] → 0.0282503, θ[14] → 1.54369, θ[15] → 0.687921, θ[16] → 0.584671,  
θ[17] → 1.52099, θ[18] → 0.533196, θ[19] → 0.826099, θ[20] → -0.714602,  
θ[21] → -0.401971, θ[22] → 0.00317968, θ[23] → -0.189258,  
θ[24] → -0.157878, θ[25] → 1.5827, θ[26] → 1.0375, θ[27] → 0.231741}
```